G. R. S. 58]

P8

Previous references.

Pol 1-05 (212, 213, 230, 263 & 277)
– 212-12-6
– 13-14-7.

1915.16 (Police)

File No. 203-15

Serial Nos. 1–2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–2

Preserve for 2/7/29

Part B for Sept 16

SUBJECT.

Grant of licences for holding wrestling matches in the Bangalore Cy –

2) To Mr. K. A. Srinivasaiyar M.A.
J. Dept dy 15/8/16

State that he may deal with in the matter re the grant of licence for holding wrestling matches in the Bangalore.

W D 1851—GPB—20,000—18-12-15

Approved 21/9/16.

G. R. S. 11] 1915-16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 203-15

FILE HEADING.

Grant of licences for holding wrestling matches in the Bangalore City.

From

Mr. Chandy, Dy. Comr., Bangalore.

No. D.O. 829 Dated 13.4.16 Received 14.4.16

Diary No. J2729	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that since the grant of licence permanently to Rayappa Papanna several other persons have applied for licence to them, suggests the desirability of ascertaining the principle among applicants of approved respectability or of granting the sole control of these matches to a committee & requests for instructions in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) For a brief history of this question

71 O please see enclosure to D. No. 263 in Pol. 6-05 and

71 H also notes in Pol 212-12. Subsequently the

licence was granted permanently to Rayappa

71 I Papanna (vide G.O. No. J638-9-Police 13-14-587 2.9.14).

NOTES—First Sheet.

2729

(2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 203 of 1916.

Serial No.

2) Mr. Chaney now asks that to give the licence as a monopoly to a professional and without any return does not seem to be the best method and suggests the desirability of adopting one of the alternatives proposed by him.

3) It will be seen from the routine note put up that the Ch. Comrs notification referred to by the last Secy (Mean as seen on the paper under disposal) is not traceable.

7/N

List III

Subd-for orders with reference

B.P.
195-16.

4) The District Magistrate may be told that he may dispose of the ~~matter as he thinks best~~ question in the manner best calculated to secure the interests of public peace. The profit of the licensee, in which the D.M. thinks it desirable that the Govt Treasury or public charities should participate, is not a relevant consideration

MM
22/5/16

Secy)

The file was given home by the Secretary for a note and submission to the Member.

VOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

(3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol File No. 203 of 1915.

Serial No.

5) Two questions have been referred by the D.C. magistrate for Princes Ghat: viz. whether the privilege can be sold by public auction among applicants of approved respectability, and the Second is whether the right can be entrusted to a committee on the condition that all the profits should go to public charities fixed by Govt. & D.C. magistrate.

Papers under disposal

6) Both the proposals seem to me to be objectionable. The power to grant a license in such cases is vested in the D.C. magistrate so that he might use his discretion in granting it, and that the peace and order are maintained by the person applying for the same. It is not the object of Govt. that any profit should be made out of such granting licenses, by Govt. The principle on the basis of which this reference is made seems therefore unsound.

H.W. S 4.7.15.

7) As regards the present license K. Papanna, Govt. have already passed orders after careful consideration. The District Magistrate himself reports that K. Papanna has been conducting the matches fairly well and that there is nothing to complain against

H.I. Dd 13-4-15.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

2729

(4

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 203 of 1915-16

Serial No.

him.

8) Attention is also respectfully invited to the District Magistrate's letter dt 26.8.1914 wherein he has reported that Sridar Mr. Gopala Raja Urs (who generally supervises such wrestling matches in the Palace Akhada) recommended that the license might be given to K. Papanna, and that he (Papanna) was complemented by Her Highness the Yuvaraja for his arrangements. It seems therefore, inexpedient to change the existing licensee unless his arrangements get unsatisfactory, leading to a breach of peace and order.

9) If approved, the District Magistrate will be informed that it is inexpedient to adopt either of the proposals and that the existing arrangements may continue.

Sd/-

A. Police-14-4

1505.
29.7.16

Second Member)

10) The present Dy Magte may be asked to deal with the matter himself in the light of the orders already passed, unless he considers

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

2729

(5

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol File No. 203 of 1916.

Serial No.

that the circumstances call for any alteration in the arrangements on which case he may submit definite recommendations.

29/7/16

Pol 31/7

11) Draft Gs 31/7

12) Subd. with a Draft Do.

BS. 31-7-16.

13) Done Gs 1/8/16

B 18/8

2 Do for H. A. Srinivasaiengar Mog Bar 15th. Aug 16.

States that he may deal with the matter re the grant of license for holding wrestling matches in the Bangalore city, in the light of the orders already passed etc.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,600—17-12-15

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
& District Magistrate
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, the 11th April 1916.

Police
203-15
1

Dear Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with Government Proceedings No. J.638-9/Police 13-14-3 dated 2-9-1914 approving the grant of license to Kayangadi Pappanna to conduct wrestling matches in the Bangalore City, I beg to state that the undermentioned persons have since applied for license being granted to them. While all of them are anxious that the privilege for the grant of the license being put to auction, Ramlal Tevari and Walisab have conjointly offered Rs.500/- as the amount which they would pay to Government for the grant of the license for one year. They desire that the amount should be utilized for the War Fund or some other charitable purposes. They are also willing to bid if an auction is conducted.

1. Pylavan Ramlal Tevari & Walisab.
2. Jamedar Papanna & Syed Imam
3. Venkata Rao, Wheat Merchant, Kumbarpet.

The license now granted to Kayangadi Papanna is not terminable at any fixed period, and will, ordinarily, continue so long as he abides by the conditions of the license. The circumstances under which the license was recommended to him have been fully stated in my letter No. S.114 dated 26/27-8-1914. The Superintendent of Police reports that Kayangadi Papanna has been conducting the wrestling matches fairly well and that there is nothing to complain against him. K. Papanamma contends that the license should continue in his name. Jemadar Papanna had also been an alternative licensee under my predecessor's orders, but he did

(7

K. Papanna have not come to terms.

In view of the fact that there has been frequent applications from other persons and that the concern is not without some profit besides giving the licensee a recognised status among the Wastads, I request that instructions may kindly be obtained as to whether the privilege can be auctioned annually among applicants of approved respectability and capable of managing the matches smoothly. Another alternative is to grant the sole control of wrestling matches to a committee of gentlemen on the understanding that all profits after defraying expenses should go to public charities that may from time to time be fixed by Government or the District Magistrate. To give the license as a monopoly to a professional and without any return does not seem to be the best method. I shall be thankfull to be kindly favoured with the views of Government for proceeding further in the matter.

Yours Sincerely,

Asst. to the Deputy Commissioner.

To

D.N. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Bangalore City.

Draft- D.O. No. 1622 File no 203-15
Sl. no. 2

Bangalore
Dt — 15th August 1916.

Dear

With reference to Mr. Ranoji Rao's D.O. no. 829 Dt 13th April 1916 regarding the grant of licences for conducting wrestling matches in the Bangalore City I am desired to state that you may deal with the matter in the light of the orders already passed, unless you consider that the circumstances call for any alteration in the existing arrangements in which case you may submit definite recommendations for Govt.

Yours Sincerely

TO
K. R. Srinivasaiyangar Esqr M.A.
Dy. Comr. & Dt. Magte. Bangalore